	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/853,076	ROSS ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ayal I Sharon	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communion GHTS. This application is sub	is application. If not included to the control of t	ied course. THIS
1. A This communication is responsive to the amendment filed to	<u>8/12/2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 and 3-14</u> .			
3. A The drawings filed on 18 December 2000 are accepted by	the Examiner.		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un All b)	been received. been received in Application Note that the communication to file a second communication communica	No this national stage applica	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	tted. Note the attached EXAMIs reason(s) why the oath or de	NER'S AMENDMENT or Neclaration is deficient.	NOTICE OF
 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.000) should be labeled as a change in the second should be labeled as a change. 	on's Patent Drawing Review (Amendment / Comment or in	the Office action of	e back) of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATER	IAL must be submitted.	Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date	6. Interview Sumi Paper No./Ma 7. Examiner's Am	il Date	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Not	tice of Allowability	QN Part of Pa	per No./Mail Date 6

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Amendment filed on 8/12/2004.
- 2. In the Applicants' Amendment, independent claims 1 and 13 were amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 2, which were indicated as containing allowable subject matter in the previous Office Action. Claim 2 was cancelled.
- 3. In addition, claims 3 and 4 were amended to address dependency issues created by canceling claim 2.
- 4. Also, claims 8 and 10 were amended to remove the term "substantially", in order to overcome the rejections based on 35 U.S.C. §112. Examiner has therefore withdrawn the rejections.

Claim Interpretations

5. Examiner interprets "simulating" and "simulant" according to the definition of the term "simulate" in Webster's Revised Unabridged Dictionary, © 1996, 1998

MICRA, Inc., as follows:

To assume the mere appearance of, without the reality; to assume the signs or indications of, falsely; to counterfeit; to feign.

Therefore, the term "simulate" is not restricted to the computer-related arts.

6. Examiner interprets "grain" as corresponding to "propellant". See the cited Nowlicki reference, "2. Solid Propellant Rockets".

Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

Examiner's Amendment

7.	The following amendments are being made in order to correct typographical
	errors in the claims. Applicant's Representative, Mr. Fredric Zimmerman, Reg.
	No. 48,747 approved these amendments in a telephone conversation conducted
	on 11/19/2004.

8.	Amend claim	1, line 5, to	remove the	letter "t" f	rom the wor	d "stimulant", as
	follows:					

From

---- a grain stimulant ----

to

---- a grain simulant ----

9. Amend claim 13, lines 5-6, to remove the letter "t" from the word "stimulant", as follows:

From

---- providing a device comprising an energetic material assembly comprising a grain stimulant ----

to

---- providing a device comprising an energetic material assembly comprising a grain simulant ----

Art Unit: 2123

Reasons for Allowance

- 10. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The closest prior art of record is:
 - a. Hodges, Peter. U.K. Patent Application GB 2,187,267. Published: Sept. 3, 1987. (Henceforth referred to as "Hodges").
 - b. Rosenfield, Gary. U.S. Patent 5,579,636. Date of Patent: Dec. 3, 1996.(Henceforth referred to as "Rosenfield").
 - c. Vottis et al. U.S. Patent 5,624,189. Date of Patent: Apr. 29, 1997. (Henceforth referred to as "Vottis").
- 11. Applicants' first set of claims consists of claims 1-12.

Independent claim 1 is directed at a temperature simulating device for simulating the energetic material temperature within ordnance wherein the energetic material has thermal properties and a cross-sectional area and the ordnance has housing.

This claim identifies the distinct features of "a grain stimulant, comprising a rubber material, having thermal properties, being inert, wherein the thermal properties of the grain simulant approximate the thermal properties of the energetic material."

Hodges teaches the use of an "... explosively inert material 22, such as sand, which has a thermal conductivity and thermal mass substantially equal to, or at least of the same order of magnitude as, that of a real propellant charge"

Art Unit: 2123

inside an ammunition case in order to estimate a gun propellant charge temperature. (See p.2, line 129 to p.3, line 4).

However, while Hodges teaches the use of "explosively inert material", Hodges only provides the example of <u>sand</u>. Hodges does not expressly teach the use of rubber (nor the more specific "hydrin rubber" claimed in claim 3, or the "polystyrene foam" claimed in claim 9), as an explosively inert grain stimulant.

Rosenfield, on the other hand, expressly teaches the use of rubber as a "relatively inert material" component in actual solid fuel grain (See col.7, lines 47-57). However, Rosenfield does not expressly or implicitly teach the use of rubber alone, as a "grain simulant".

Vottis expressly teaches a temperature emulating system for determining the temperature of gun ammunition propellant, however, the temperature is indirectly approximated by measuring the wall of a chamber in which live ammunition is stored. (See Abstract). Vottis does not expressly or implicitly teach the use of any grain simulant, much less the use of rubber as a grain simulant.

12. Applicants' second set of claims consists of claims 13-14.

Independent claim 13 is directed at a method of simulating the temperature of the energetic material temperature within ordnance wherein the energetic material has thermal properties and a cross-sectional area and the ordnance has housing.

This claim identifies the distinct features of "providing a device comprising an energetic material assembly comprising a grain stimulant, comprising a rubber

Art Unit: 2123

material, having thermal properties, being inert, wherein the thermal properties of the grain simulant approximate the thermal properties of the energetic material."

Hodges teaches the use of an "... explosively inert material 22, such as sand, which has a thermal conductivity and thermal mass substantially equal to, or at least of the same order of magnitude as, that of a real propellant charge" inside an ammunition case in order to estimate a gun propellant charge temperature. (See p.2, line 129 to p.3, line 4).

However, while Hodges teaches the use of "explosively inert material", Hodges only provides the example of <u>sand</u>. Hodges does not expressly teach the use of rubber (nor the more specific "hydrin rubber" claimed in claim 3, or the "polystyrene foam" claimed in claim 9), as an explosively inert grain stimulant.

Rosenfield, on the other hand, expressly teaches the use of rubber as a "relatively inert material" component in actual solid fuel grain (See col.7, lines 47-57). However, Rosenfield does not expressly or implicitly teach the use of rubber alone, as a "grain simulant".

Vottis expressly teaches a temperature emulating system for determining the temperature of gun ammunition propellant, however, the temperature is indirectly approximated by measuring the wall of a chamber in which live ammunition is stored. (See Abstract). Vottis does not expressly or implicitly teach the use of any grain simulant, much less the use of rubber as a grain simulant.

Art Unit: 2123

Applicants' responded in the amendment filed 5/6/2004 (see p.6) that "... the binder would be in a liquid or gel state (basically not useable for the present invention)".

- 13. Therefore, neither Hodges, nor Rosenfield, nor Vottis, either alone or in combination, teach the limitation of a grain simulant comprising a rubber material in combination with the other limitations of the independent claims. Therefore, Examiner finds these independent claims, and all their dependent claims, to be allowable over the cited prior art.
- 14. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ayal I. Sharon whose telephone number is (703) 306-0297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and the first Friday of a biweek, 8:30 am – 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on (703) 305-9704. Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Director of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2123

Washington, DC 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the following office:

4th floor receptionist's office Crystal Park 2 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202

Fax: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is: (703) 305-3900.

Ayal I. Sharon

Art Unit 2123

November 19, 2004

TES OF THE PARTY O

Page 8